1	2	3
21.	Punjab	55.85
22.	Rajasthan	65.86
23.	Sikkim	.0.67
24.	Tamil Nadu	214.57
25.	Tripura Uttar	4.42
26.	Pradesh	233.14
27.	Uttarakhand	22.59
28.	West Bengal	332.02
29.	Delhi	299.55
30.	Puducherry	11.75 .,
31.	Chandigarh	18.80
	TOTAL:	2805.00

Setting up of urban complexes

1927. SHRI C. RAMACHANDRAIAH: Will the Ministerof URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether his Ministry, keeping in view the long term development of the metropolis, whose infrastructure demands are increasing by the day, has now proposed to set up urban complexes in the country;

(b) if so, the number of complexes so far been proposed in the country and by when they would be fiilly developed;

(c) whether any complex is proposed to be developed in Andhra Pradesh;

(d) if so, the details thereof and the fmancial allocations earmarkd for the purpose; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN):

(a) to (e) The subject matter is within the purview of States. Decision regarding setting up of Urban Complexes is in State Domain.

Allotment of land to certain Institutions

†1928. SHRI VINAY KATIYAR: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased ot state:

(a) the areas of land which has been allotted to social, religious and political institutions since 1950;

(b) whether such allotment of land has been done according to rule;

(c) if so, the details thereof and the institutions to which land has been allotted;

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(d) whether the allotment of land to certain institutions has been cancelled; and

(e) if so, the basis on which the allotment has been cancelled?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (e) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

MRTS in Mumbai

1929. SHRI SHARAD ANANTRAO JOSHI: Will the MINISTER OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government has any proposal to approve the Mass Rapid Transit System (MRTS) along Andheri-Ghatkopar alignment;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the financial assistance Government proposes to release for the implementation of the project;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) The Government of Maharashtra (GoM) has decided to execute the First corridor to Mumbai Metro Rail Project Phase I on Build, Own, Operate and Transfer (BOOT) basis on the Public Private Parmership (PPP) route through the private partner Mumbai Metro Consortium-I led by Reliance Group. The details of the project are as under:—

VERSOVA-ANDHERI-GHATKOPAR:

Total Iength-11.07 Kms. (all elevated)

Completion cost projected by the private partner is Rs. 2,356 crore.

Funding pattern proposed:

Viability Gap Funding — Rs. 650 crore

Equity — 30% (26% of this by Government of Maharastra/Mumbai Metropolitan Regional Development Authority and balance by the bidder (Mumbai Metro I Consortium).

Debt — 70%

State Govt, has demanded Central assistance of Rs. 650 crore from National Urban Renewal Mission (NURM) scheme in the form of viability Gap Funding.

(c) & (d) There is no provision for 'grant' in the form of viability Gap Funding to Urban Transport project in the existing guidelines of Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM). Revision of these quidelines is under Inter-Ministerial consideration. Any decision on Central fmancial assistance to the above project can be taken only after the revision of guidelines.

(e) Does not arise.